

## **REMARKS**

Claim 1 is canceled. Claims 2-3 are pending and amended with this paper.

### **Objection to the Drawings**

The Examiner objected to the drawings because they failed to label the related boxes as described in the specification. A corrected drawing sheet is attached. The corrected drawing sheet includes corrected FIGS. 1-3. The corrections consist of descriptive labels that are added to the boxes. The boxes are also resized to accommodate the descriptive labels.

### **Objection to the Abstract**

The Examiner objected to the Abstract for not being in narrative form. A replacement Abstract is attached.

### **Note Regarding Claim Numbering in the Examiner's Claim Rejections**

The Examiner referred to the Claims as Claims 1 and 2. In actuality Claim 1 is canceled. Claims 2 and 3 are pending. Applicant therefore proceeded as though the Examiner was actually referring to Claims 2 and 3 instead of Claims 1 and 2, respectively. The discussion below also employs the correct Claim numbers 2 and 3.

### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112**

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended Claims 2-3 to correct the issues that the Examiner raised.

Claim 3 is further rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as directed to a single claim which claims both a system and the method steps of using the system.

Applicant has amended Claim 3 such that it claims a system.

### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC 101**

Claim 3 is further rejected under 35 USC 101 because based on the theory that the claim is directed to neither a “process” nor a “machine”, but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention set forth in 35 USC 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only.

Applicant has amended Claim 3 such that it embraces a single statutory class of invention in accordance with 35 USC 101.

### **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, Applicant believes that the claims as amended overcome the raised objections and rejections and are in a condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

/Edwin W. Bacon, Jr./

---

Edwin W. Bacon, Jr.  
Registration No. 39,098  
Continental Teves, Inc.  
One Continental Drive  
Auburn Hills, MI 48326  
(248) 393-6405  
Attorney for Applicants